

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/692,730	10/27/2003	Jian Ni	PF293D2	9711	
22195 7	590 07/07/2006	EXAMINER			
HUMAN GENOME SCIENCES INC. 14200 SHADY GROVE ROAD			JIANG, DONG		
ROCKVILLE,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			1646	1646	

DATE MAILED: 07/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	Application No. Applican		int(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/692,7	30	NI ET AL.				
		Examine		Art Unit				
		Dong Jiar	ng	1646				
The MAILING Period for Reply	DATE of this communicat	ion appears on the	cover sheet with the c	correspondence a	ddress			
WHICHEVER IS LON - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS from - If NO period for reply is spe - Failure to reply within the s Any reply received by the C	ATUTORY PERIOD FOR NGER, FROM THE MAIL available under the provisions of 37 in the mailing date of this communic. Excified above, the maximum statutor et or extended period for reply will, in the provided of the state of the theory of the state of the	ING DATE OF TH CFR 1.136(a). In no evation. Ty period will apply and weby statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tir ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of the (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status								
1) Responsive to	communication(s) filed o	n .						
2a) ☐ This action is F	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	 ☑ This action is r	on-final.					
<u>'</u>								
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims		·						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <i>1-90</i> i	s/are pending in the appl	ication.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)								
7) Claim(s)	_							
8) Claim(s) <u>1-90</u> a	are subject to restriction a	and/or election red	quirement.					
Application Papers								
9) The specificatio	n is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.						
	filed on is/are: a)		objected to by the	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
· ·	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	<u> </u>							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
			·					
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cite			4) Interview Summary					
	Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 tatement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P		O-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date		,	6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Currently, claims 1-90 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-16, 19-41, 43-58, 61-83 and 85-90, drawn to an isolated antibody or fragment thereof, and a hybridoma producing said antibody, classified in class 530, subclass 387.9.

II. Claims 17, 18, 42, 59, 60 and 84, drawn to a method of detecting T1R-like ligandII protein with the antibody, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Invention I is related to Invention II as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed may be used for purification of the protein thereto.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and/or recognized divergent subject matters, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143), and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Art Unit: 1646

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Application/Control Number: 10/692,730 Page 4

Art Unit: 1646

Advisory Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Dong Jiang whose telephone number is 571-272-0872. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 9:30 AM to 7:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Nickol, can be reached on 571-272-0835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dong Jiang Ph.D

Patent Examiner

AU1646 6/30/06